

Peter Gubbins

From: Ian Lund
Sent: 09 January 2009 11:03
To: Elizabeth Clark
Subject: FW: Friends Meeting House, Bath
Attachments: 25B.pdf

[Includes an amended plan for Uniform](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathy Curling [mailto:kcurling@pro-planning.co.uk]
Sent: 06 January 2009 16:49
To: Ian Lund; Neil Harvey
Cc: Ian Glyn; Peter Walford; Joseph Pugsley
Subject: Friends Meeting House, Bath

Ian

Please see the following responses from our Architects to the points raised in your email of 30 December.

We agree to omit the double folding gates to the railings.

Yes drawing 1407/60 is revision A

No 1407/25 is revision B please find it attached. 25 A sent in error. Revision B is the addition of spikes to the railings around the disabled lift as requested at our site meeting with the planners.

Yes drawing 702/04 revision A is intended as part of the application. It shows the floor formed in the roof space to form the plant room and the formation of the builders work holes to the rear pitch of the roof.

It is intended to install the plant equipment to the roof space via the builders work hole formed to take the kitchen extract. The equipment will be sectionalised and dropped through the hole in the required order of assembly. Our mechanical engineer will advise if it is possible to manhandle the equipment to the roof or whether a crane lift will be necessary. From the drawings you will see that the proposed builders work hole is larger than the 500x500 extract duct passing through it. A code 4 lead weathering apron will be formed between the duct and the builders work hole upstand at the pitch of the roof.

The original floor to this area would appear to have been relatively recently replaced with the modern softwood boarded floor visible today. This floor currently is creaking flexing and making all manner of strange knocking sounds when it is walked across. We suspect that the boards are fixed to relatively small battens floating over a D.P.M. and that the battens have either become warped or rotten through damp. It is intended to lift a couple of the boards at the beginning of the building contract to ascertain the construction below. At this point it will be possible to decide if the existing floor is repairable or if indeed it requires replacing. We will be happy to discuss with yourselves any course of action required to repair the floor following its inspection.

Please can you confirm that the application will be proceeding to the 21 January meeting as discussed. The economic situation is making this evermore important.

Regards

Kathy Curling

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - <http://www.avg.com>

Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.3/1877 - Release Date: 1/5/2009 7:20 PM